UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,119	05/01/2006	Robert Chassagnon	5460-69PUS	5029
	7590 01/26/201 ΓΑΝΙ, LIEBERMAN &	EXAMINER		
551 FIFTH AV SUITE 1210		SCOTT, ANGELA C		
NEW YORK, NY 10176			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/26/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/578,119	CHASSAGNON ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Mark Eashoo	1767	
	-	_

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed	16 September 2010.
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Freason(s):</li> </ol>	Request is improper and a conf	erence will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with ude reasons why a review is a s included with the Pre-Appeal	
The time period for filing a responthe mail date of the last Office con		eceipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from opeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal brobrief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	der appeal because there is at rief in accordance with 37 CFR in from mailing this decision, or tice of appeal, whichever is great 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the rief.	s – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal the balance of the two-month time period eater. Further, the time period for filing of the mail date of this decision or the receipt date
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: _1,4-10, 13 Claim(s) withdrawn from cor		as follows:
		e rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of sed. No further action is required by
4. Reopen Prosecution – A of action will be mailed. No further a		rejection is withdrawn and a new Office at this time.
All participants:		
(1) <u>,Mark Eashoo</u> .	(3) <u>Ange</u>	ela Scott.
(2) James Seidleck.	(4)	<b>_</b> -
/Mark Eashoo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1767	/James Seidleck/ Supervisory Patent Examiner Unit 1765	/Angela C. Scott/ , Art Examiner, Art Unit 1767